DETECTION OF AFLATOXIN B1 IN DIFFERENT ANIMAL FEEDSTUFFS IN THREE REGIONS OF BEKAA VALLEY-LEBANON

Majd Abi Haidar¹, Mona Abboud¹, Toni Todorov²

¹Lebanese University, Faculty of Agronomy and Veterinary Medicine, Beirut, Lebanon
E-mail:majdabihaidar@gmail.com

²University of Forestry, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Sofia, Bulgaria
E-mail: ttodorov@ltu.bg

ABSTRACT

Present study aims to determine the levels of AFB1 in different animals' feedstuffs (Soybean, Corn, Cotton seeds, Barley bran, Wheat bran and Hay). For this purpose 90 samples were collected from 15 small farms of three regions of the Bekaa Valley Baalbeck (R1), Zahleh (R2) and West Bekaa (R3) between June 2022 and July 2022. Samples were placed in plastic bags and transported to the laboratory within 12 hours. Samples were stored at 4° C and analyzed for AFB1 within seven days after collection using a competitive Enzyme–Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) technique. Mean of AFB1 levels were exceeded the maximum for European Union accepted levels for all samples and USA and Lebanese permissible levels for Soybean, Cotton seed, Corn and Hay but showed no statistical significance when compared to this limit (p>0.05). This finding shows that feed producers and dairy farmers should maintain sustainable good procedures for all feed harvesting, storage, and feeding techniques in order to avoid aflatoxin contamination.

Key words: Aflatoxin; AFB1; AFM1; ELISA; Feed.

Introduction

Detection of aflatoxin B1 in animal feed is very important. Therefore, the level of AFB1 in the feed for dairy animals is directly correlated with the presence of AFM1 in the milk (Ismail et al., 2016). In the aflatoxin group, there are 16 compounds are known (aflatoxin B1, B2, G1, G2 and M1 are monitored routinely) and the most important toxic member is AFB1 (Bennet and Klich, 2003). According to studies (Iqbal et al., 2012; Hammami et al., 2014), the AFB1 has the most hazardous and cancer-causing properties for both people and animals. It has also been linked to the emergence of human hepatic and extra-hepatic carcinogenesis. Additionally, it slows the animal development rate, lowers milk quality, and reduces milk production (Whitlow and Heagler, 2004; Akande et al., 2006). AFB1 is biodegraded in the liver and transported into milk as aflatoxin M1 (AFM1) after being present in dairy animal meals. According to an animal model, the conversion factor of AFB1 into AFM1 ranges from 0.3 to 6% (Var and Kabak, 2009). According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (2004) the maximum allowable amount of AFB1 in feed was established at 20 ppb. United State of America (USA) Food and Drug Administration (FDA) imposed an aflatoxin limit of 20 parts per billion (ppb) for foods, as well as for the majority of feeds and feed ingredients. On the other hand, the European Union (EU) set a 20 µg/kg maximum limit for AFB1 in all feed materials, complete and complementary feeding stuff for cattle, sheep, goats, pigs, and poultry (except for young animals), and 5 µg/kg for complete feeding stuff for dairy animals (Food Standard Agency (FSA), 2004)). The aim of this study is to detect the presence of AFB1 in animal feed in order to know the origin of AFM1 in raw milk samples or to find out the most common source of milk contamination.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection and preparation

In the study, 15 farms from three regions (5 farms from Baalbeck (R1), 5 from Zahleh (R2), and 5 from West Bekaa (R3) were selected, and from each farm 6 feeds ingredients were collected (**Total 90 samples**). Those ingredients are Barley bran, Soyabean, Corn, Cotton seeds (imported), wheat bran and Hay (local origin). For all ingredients, the sampling is from the farm not from the origin source. All samples were collected between the end of June 2022 and the beginning of July 2022. Most of the farms from which collected the sample were small–scale farms from the three regions. Samples were placed in plastic bags (200 g of each ingredient) and transported to the laboratory within 12 hours. The samples were stored at 4 °C and analyzed for AFB1 within seven days after collection. Sample preparation (extraction, filtration, and dilution), test preparation, and procedure were done according to RIDASCREEN®FAST Aflatoxin SC Kit (Art. No.: R9002) (R–Biopharm AG, Darmstadt, Germany) which is a competitive enzyme–linked immunosorbent assay for the quantitative analysis of aflatoxin in feed. Special software the RIDASOFT®Win.NET Food & Feed (Art. No. Z9996FF) (R–Biopharm AG, Darmstadt, Germany) was used to calculate the content of aflatoxin in the samples.

Data evaluation and Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with software GraphPad Prism 8.4.2. We applied a One–sample t–test to compare the mean concentration of AFB1 in feedstuffs to the USA and Lebanese legal limit (20 μ g/kg), and to determine if they are significantly different. Analysis of Variance (One–Way ANOVA) was employed to investigate for statistical differences among feedstuffs AFB1 mean levels. A probability of p<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

The determined levels of AFB1 in the samples, collected from 15 farms, were variable and found in all samples. One–sample t–test results, minimum, maximum, and mean values of AFB1 have been shown in Table 1.

FEEDSTUFFS	N	Number of sample above limit of 20 µg/kg	% above limit of 20 µg/kg	(X)	SD	Min	Max	p–value
Barley bran	15	5	33.33	16.4	7.05	8.30	29.3	0.069
Wheat bran	15	6	40	16.4	9.10	4.32	32.5	0.150
Soybean	15	7	46.67	20.5	15.3	2.56	46.5	0.908
Corn	15	8	53.33	24.7	15.5	5.90	58.5	0.262
Cotton seed	15	9	60	25.1	15.6	5.81	50.3	0.229
Hay	15	9	60	27.0	12.8	10.5	49.6	0.052

Table 1: Mean levels of AFB₁($\mu g/kg$) in feedstuffs (X \pm SD).

 $N-Number\ of\ samples;\ (\ X\)-Mean\ (\mu g/kg);\ SD-Standard\ Deviation;\ Min-Minimal\ levels\ (\mu g/kg);\ Max-Maximal\ levels\ (\mu g/kg).$

Out of 90 samples, 44 samples (48,88%) showed contamination exceeding the limit accepted by USA and Lebanese limit (20 μ g/kg). The six type of feedstuffs as cited above: Barley bran, Wheat

bran, Soybean, Corn, Cotton seed, and Hay revealed from 33.33 to 60% contamination rate, respectively (Table 1). The maximum level of AFB1 in those samples can be presented in this order: Corn > Cotton seed > Hay > Soybean > Wheat bran > Barley bran. The highest (maximum) level was detected in a sample of Corn, and the lowest (minimum) level was detected in a sample of Soybean (Table 1). The lowest mean of AFB1 was detected in Barley bran and Wheat bran , while in the Hay aflatoxin AFB1 showed the highest mean levels (Table 1). AFB1 mean concentrations in Barley bran and Wheat bran were higher than the European Union legal limit level (5 $\mu g/kg$), but lower than the USA and Lebanese legal limit (20 $\mu g/kg$). Interestingly, AFB1 mean levels in the samples of Soybean, Corn, Cotton seed, and Hay were higher than the US and Lebanese legal limit (20 $\mu g/kg$), but showed no statistical significance when compared to this limit (Table 1). Mean value of AFB1 levels in Hay were close to be significantly higher than the USA and Lebanese limit. Notably, AFB1 mean concentrations exhibited an upward trend when comparing samples of Soybean, Corn, Cotton seed, and Hay, but no significant difference was detected among means.

Discussion

Aflatoxine contamination in several types of food and feed is inevitable. As a result, much study is conducted to identify this toxin in food and feed (Hell and Mutegi, 2011; Farombi, 2006). In the present study maximum AFB1 concentration in corn samples was higher than the results reported by Scudamore et al. (1997) in the United Kingdom (41 µg/kg), and Oruç et al. (2006) in Turkey (32.30 µg/kg as total aflatoxin), but lower than Shetty and Bhat (1997) in India (109 µg/kg), and Dawlatana et al. (2002) in Bangladesh (245 µg/kg). According to Kaaya and Kyamuhangire (2006), maize samples stocked for more than six months were observed to have detectable levels of aflatoxin AFB1 more than 20 μg /kg. In this study, the highest level corn (58 μg/kg) was seen in a sample of corn in more than half the farms which were included in the study (53, 33 % positive samples). This is due to for long period and bad storage of corn in all majority of the farm. Mean level of AFB₁ with Standard Deviation (SD) for corn (24.7±15.5) were higher than the USA and Lebanese legal limit (20 μg/kg), and higher than the European Union (5 μg/kg), but showed no statistical significance when compared to this limit. According to Kabak et al. (2006), moisture, poor storage conditions, and poor management all contributed to the elevated levels of aflatoxin, observed in competitive cow's feed, feed additives, and maize. In another study conducted in Iraq, AFB1 was found in 12 out of 24 samples of maize, with levels ranging from 2.30 to 30 ppb detectable through thin layer chromatography (TLC) and 270 to 500 ppb by means of Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) (Hassan et al., 2014). It is well known that a variety of conditions, including temperature, humidity, insect damage, handling during harvest, and storage, affect the establishment of Aspergillus spp. and the subsequent formation of aflatoxins in maize (Hell et al., 2003). Concerning the cotton seeds, in this study 60% of the sample was contaminated and exceeded the limit (20 µg/kg). The mean value and SD of AFB1 concentration was (25.1±15.6 μg/kg), and it was higher more the USA (20 μg/kg) and European Union (5 μg/kg) permissible limit, but no significant difference was detected among means. In comparison with another study from Iran, cotton seeds had highest levels of contamination, even higher than the maximum tolerated levels. All the cotton seed samples (100%) were contaminated by AFB1, which detected by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) (Sadegh et al., 2013). According to Azizi et al. (2012), cotton seeds show a contaminated level of AFB1 compared to concentrated feed and beet pulp. In a study by Hashemi, (2015), the Cotton seeds had an AFB1 level (2.13±0.31 μg /kg) lesser than the present study (25.1±4.03 µg /kg). In the present study, wheat bran samples showed 40% contamination, and they reached a maximum level of AFB₁ at 32.5 μ g/kg. The mean \pm SD of AFB1 concentration was 16.4±9.10 µg/kg, but no statistically significant difference was detected in the found values. In comparison and According to some authors (Aydin et al. (2008); Joubrane et al. (2011), and Almeida-Ferreira et al., (2013)) have high levels of contamination of wheat and their derivatives with AFB1. In an Indian study, Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) levels in 1646 samples of wheat grains were examined. 40.3% of the samples had AFB1 levels below 5 µg/kg, while 16% had concentrations over 30 µg /kg, allowed regulatory limit for India (Toteja et al., 2006). Even though in wheat had a high prevalence of AFB1 (44.8%), wheat samples had the lowest maximum limits of 6.0 µg/kg (Elbashir and Ali, 2014). In a study by Hashemi, (2015), Wheat bran had an aflatoxin AFB1 level (2.94±1.38 μg/kg) lesser than our study (16.4±2.35 μg/kg). In this study, mean levels of AFB1 in Soybean is (20.5±15.3 μg/kg), and were higher than the US and Lebanese legal limit (20 μg/kg), and higher than EU limit (5 μg/kg), but showed no statistical significance when compared to this limit concentrations. According to Abdullah Murshed et al. (2019), 72% of soybean samples were contaminated with aflatoxins, and 27.6% of them exceed the European Standard, and in only 6.2% of the soybean samples taken, the values of total aflatoxins were above the maximum limit by the FDA standards in Yemen (20 µg/kg). In the present study, the highest level of AFB1 was found in the samples of Soybean (46.5 µg/kg), which was higher than that in United Kingdom (4 μg/kg) reported by Scudamore et al. (1997), and slightly higher than that in Turkey (46.3 μg/kg) reported by Oruç et al. (2007), and higher than the level from Iran (11.46 μg/kg) reported by Hashemi, (2015). Regarding barley bran, 33.33% of the contaminated samples by AFB1 exceeded the USA and Lebanese permissible limit (20 µg/kg). Those results were higher compared with the result of Sadegh et al. (2013), who established 0% contamination in barley samples, and higher than the result reported by Hashemi (2016), where barley samples show contamination with AFB1 less than Iran regulations and European Union limitations (5 μg/kg) (1.31±0.33 μg/kg). Regarding Aflatoxin B1 detection in the hay, in our study all samples were found contaminated, and 60% of them were with mean value 27.0 µg/kg, and exceeded the USA and Lebanese permissible limit of 20 µg/Kkg. Our results are higher than those reported by Ceniti et al. (2021), which they found in all hay samples levels of AFB1 contamination ranging from 2 to 7.7 µg/kg, which are within the limitations set by the European Union (20 μg/kg). In a study done by Karademir et al. (2003), fresh Hay shows average values less than the allowed Turkey limits (50 μg/kg). Old Hays' average values were below the limit of Turkey (50 µg/kg), but they were above the maximum limits (20 µg/kg). In Northern Italy, Decastelli et al. (2007), reported that 8.1% of the feed samples examined were positive for AFB1. In Iran the concentration of AFB1 in the Hay was higher than the limit of the European Union with 10 % (Bahrami et al., 2016). Contrarily, some investigations have shown a sizable amount of contaminated samples, rarely reaching the set legal limit. 42% of the samples from China included AFB1 levels between 0.05 and 3.53 µg/kg were below the legal limit in Chinese and European standards (10 µg/kg) (Han et al., 2013). In a 10-year investigation in Portugal, Martins et al. (2007) discovered 37.4% positive samples with contamination, ranging from 1 to 74 µg/kg, and only 6.2% of these samples surpassed the legal limit of Portuguese (5 µg/kg). Hay samples contamination with mycotoxin in our study, especially with AFB1, is a result of bad storage conditions of the Hay in the farms, e.g., the high percentage of moisture that has not been studied.

All the samples in our study, collected during this period (between the end of June and the start of July), were contaminated with AFB1.

Most of the farms from which were collected the samples were small–scale farms from the three regions (R1, R2, R3). The collection of the samples was from the farm, not the original source. In correlation with the observations that we have made about feed management in the different farms, especially storage, all those are in agreement with the results obtained from this study, after testing feed that shows contamination with AFB1 during this period. According to Richards *et al.* (2009), feed storage management and storage conditions facilitated mold development and, as a result, they are the cause of aflatoxin production.

Conclusion and recommendations

In this study, the levels of AFB1 in different feedstuffs are slightly higher than the acceptable limit concentration for cattle consumption and correlate with the occurrence of AFM1 in raw milk that has been studied before during this period. However, the toxin concentration on additional types of feedstuffs in different geographic locations, more different scale farms and management, conditions for storage, and more seasons must be studied in the future. To avoid a rise in AFB1 in animal feeds, and the occurrence of AFM1 in raw milk, it should support the small dairy farms by giving technical assistance and training to farmers, particularly those in remote regions. Periodic visits and inspections must be carried out, and animal feed and raw milk samples must be collected and examined on a regular basis to ensure their safety. For the storage sites: should enable safe storage for feed by assuring their safety before storage, installing humidity and temperature sensors, and implementing good storage practices. Authorities must also give guidance, and ongoing inspections of various storage facilities must be performed.

References

- Abdullah Murshed, S. A., N. Bacha, T. Alharazi (2019). Detection of Total Aflatoxins in Groundnut and Soybean Samples in Yemen Using Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay. Journal of Food Quality, Volume 2019 | Article ID 1614502, DOI: 10.1155/2019/1614502.
- 2. Akande, K. E., M. M. Abubakar, T. A. Adegbola, S. E. Bogoro (2006). *Nutritional and Health Implications of Mycotoxins in Animal Feed: a Review*. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 5(5), 398–403.
- Almeida–Ferreira, G. C., I. P. Barbosa–Tessmann, R. Sega, M. J. Machinski, (2013). Occurrence of zearalenone in wheat and corn based products commercialized in the State of Paraná, Brazil. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, 44(2), 371–375, DOI: 10.1590/S1517–83822013005000037.
- Aydin, A., U. Gunsen, S. Demirel (2008). Total Aflatoxin, Aflatoxin B1 and Ochratoxin A Levels in Turkish Wheat Flour. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis, 16 (2). 48–53, DOI: 10.38212/2224–6614.2369.
- Azizi, I. G., H. Ghadi, M. Azarmi (2012). Determination of AflatoxinB₁ Levels of the Feedstuffs in Traditional and Semi-industrial Cattle Farms in Amol, Northern Iran. Asian Journal Animal and Veterinary Advances, 7 (6), 528–534, DOI:10.38212/2224–6614.69.
- Bahrami, R., Y. Shahbazi, Z. Nikousefat (2016). Occurrence and seasonal variation of aflatoxin in dairy cow feed with estimation of aflatoxin M1 in milk from Iran. Food and Agricultural Immunology, 27(3), 388–400, DOI: 10.1080/09540105.2015.1109613.
- Bennett, J. W., Klich, M. (2003). *Mycotoxins*. Clinical Microbiology Reviews, 16(3),479–516, DOI: 10.1128/cmr.16.3.497–516.2003.
- 8. Ceniti, C., N. Costanzo, A. A. Spina, M. Rodolfi, B. Tilocca, C. Piras, D. Britti, V. M. Morittu (2021). *Fungal Contamination and Aflatoxin B1 Detected in Hay for Dairy Cows in South Italy*. Frontiers in Nutrition, 8, 704976, DOI: 10.3389/fnut.2021.704976.

- 9. Dawlatana, M., R. D. Coker, M. J. Nagler, C. P. Wild, M. S. Hassan, G. Blunden (2002). *The occurrence of mycotoxins in key commodities in Bangladesh: Surveillance results from 1993 to 1995*. Journal of Natural Toxins, 11(4), 379–386.
- Decastelli, L., J. Lai, M. Gramaglia., A. Monaco, C. Nachtmann, F. Oldano, M. Ruffier, A. Sezian,
 C. Bandirola (2007). Aflatoxins occurrence in milk and feed in Northern Italy during 2004–2005.
 Food Control, 18(10), 1263–1266, DOI:10.1016/j.foodcont.2006.08.006.
- Elbashir, A. A., S. E. A. Ali (2014). Aflatoxins, ochratoxins and zearalenone in sorghum and sorghum products in Sudan. Food Additives & Contaminants: Part B, 7 (2): 135–140, DOI: 10.1080/19393210.2013.859741.
- 12. FAO (2004). Worldwide regulations for mycotoxins in food and feed in 2003. Food and Nutrition, Report of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Rome, Paper No. 81.
- Farombi, E. O. (2006). Aflatoxin contamination of foods in developing countries: Implications for hepatocellular carcinoma and chemo preventive strategies. African Journal of Biotechnology, 5(1), 1–14.
- 14. Food Standard Agency (FSA) (2004). Mycotoxins permitted levels. Mpc 04/43, September 23.
- Hammami, W., S. Fiori, R. Al-Thani, N. Ali Kali, V. Balmas, Q. Migheli, S. Jaoua (2014). Fungal and aflatoxin contamination of marketed spices. Food Control, 37, 177–181, DOI: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.09.027.
- 16. Han, R. W., N. Zheng, J. Q. Wang, Y. P. Zhen (2013). Survey of aflatoxin in dairy cow feed and raw milk in China. Food Control, 34(1), 35–39, DOI: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.04.008
- 17. Hashemi, M. (2016). Aflatoxin B1 levels in feedstuffs from dairy cow farms in south of Iran. Food and Agricultural Immunology, 27:2, 251–258.
- 18. Hassan, F. F., M. H. Al–Jibouri, A. K. J. Hashim (2014). *Isolation Identification of Fungual Propagation in Stored Maize and Detection of Aflatoxin B1 using TLC and ELISA Technique*. Iraqi Journal of Science, 55(2B), 634–642.
- 19. Hell, K., K. F. Cardwell, H– M. Poehling (2003). *Relationship between Management Practices, Fungal Infection and Aflatoxin for Stored Maize in Benin.* Journal of Phytopathology, 151(11–12), 690–698, DOI:10.1046/j.1439–0434.2003.00792.x.
- 20. Hell, K., C. Mutegi (2011). *Aflatoxin control and prevention strategies in key crops of Sub–Saharan Africa*. African Journal of Microbiology Research, 5(5), 459–466, DOI: 10.5897/AJMR10.009.
- Iqbal, S. Z., M. R. Asi, A. Arino, N. Akram, M. Zuber (2012). Aflatoxin contamination in different fraction of rice from Pakistan and estimation of dietary intakes. Mycotoxin Research, 28(3), 175–180, DOI: 10.1007/s12550-012-0131-1.
- 22. Ismail, A., M. Riaz, S. Akhtar, S. H.Yoo, S. Park, M. Abid, M. Aziz, Z. Ahmad (2016). *Seasonal variation of aflatoxin B1 content in dairy feed*. Journal of Animal and Feed Science, 26(1), 33–37, DOI: 10.22358/jafs/69008/2017.
- 23. Joubrane, K., A. el Khoury, R. Lteif, T. Rizk, M. Kallassy, C. Hilan, R. Maroun (2011). *Occurrence of aflatoxin B1 and ochratoxin A in Lebanese cultivated wheat.* Mycotoxin Research, 27(4), 249–257, DOI: 10.1007/s12550–011–0101–z.
- 24. Kaaya, A. N., W. Kyamuhangire (2006). The effect of storage time and agroecological zone on mold incidence and aflatoxin contamination of maize from traders in Uganda. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 110(3), 217–223, DOI: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2006.04.004.
- Kabak, B., A. D. W. Dobson, I. War (2006). Strategies to Prevent Mycotoxin Contamination of Food and Animal Feed: A Review. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 46(8), 593–619, DOI: 10.1080/10408390500436185.

- 26. Karademir, B., A. Doğan, I. Kaya (2003). *The Incidence, Geographical Distribution and Levels of Aflatoxin B1 on Stored Hay Batches in Kars Province of Turkey*. Uludagĝ Univesity Journal of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, 22(1–2–3), 65–68.
- 27. Martins, H. M., M. M. Menedes Guerra, F. M. d'Almeida Bernardo (2007). *Occurrence of aflatoxin B1 in dairy cow's feed over 10 years in Portugal*. Revista Iberoamericana de Micología, 24(1), 69–71, DOI: 10.1016/s1130–1406(07)70017–7.
- Oruç, H. H., M. Cengiz, O. Kalkanli (2006). Comparison of aflatoxin and fumonisin levels in maize grown in Turkey and imported from the USA. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 128(3–4), 337–341, DOI:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2006.02.008.
- 29. Oruç, H. H., M. Cengiz, I. Uzunoĝlu (2007). Occurrence of Aflatoxine B1 and T-2 Toxin in Feed and Raw Ingredients Used for Animal Feeding Stuffs. Uludaĝ Univesity Journal of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, 26(1-2), 1-5.
- Richard, E., N. Heutte, V. Bouchart, D. Garon (2009). Evaluation of fungal contamination and mycotoxin production in maize silage. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 148(2–4), 309–320, DOI:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2008.02.004.
- 31. Sadegh, M., A. M. Sani, R. Ghiasvand (2013). *Determination of aflatoxine B1 in animal feed in Mashhad, Iran*. Biotechnology; An Indian Journal, 7(9), 334–336.
- 32. Scudamore, K. A., M. T. Hetmanski, H. K. Chan, S. Collins (1997). Occurrence of mycotoxins in raw ingredients used for animal feeding stuffs in the United Kingdom in 1992. Food Additives & Contaminants, 14(2),157–173, DOI: 10.1080/02652039709374511.
- 33. Shetty, P. H., R. V. Bhat (1997). *Natural Occurrence of Fumonisin B*₁ and Its Co–occurence with *Aflatoxin B*₁ in *Indian sorghum, Maize, and Poultry Feeds*. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 45(6), 2170–2173, DOI: 10.1021/jf960607s.
- 34. Toteja, G. S., A. Mukherjee, S. Diwakar, P. Singh, B. N. Saxena, K. K. Sinha, A. K. Sinha, N. Kumar, K.V. Nagaraja, G. Bai, C.A. Krishna Prasad, S. Vanchinathan, R. Roy, S. Parkar (2006). Aflatoxin B1 Contamination in Wheat Grain Samples Collected from Different Geographical Regions of India: A Multicenter Study. Journal of Food Protection, 69(6), 1463 –1467, DOI:10.4315/0362–028X–69.6.1463.
- 35. Var, I., B. Kabak (2009). Detection of aflatoxin M1 in milk and dairy products consumed in Adana, Turkey. International Journal of Dairy Technology, 62(1), 15–18, DOI: 10.1111/j.1471–0307.2008.00440.x.
- 36. Whitlow, L. W., W. M. Jr. Hagler (2004). The top ten most frequently—asked questions about mycotoxins, cattle and dairy food products. In: Nutritional Biotechnology in the Feed and Food Industries, Editors T. P. Lyons & K. A. Jacques, Proceedings of Alltech's 20th Annual Symposium: Re-Imagining the Feed Industry. Lexington, KY (USA), pp. 231–253, ISBN: 9781904761273.